


<b>Search Notes</b>  	<b>Application/Control No.</b>  10573134	<b>Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination</b>  STEINBERG ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>  MARK STAPLES	<b>Art Unit</b>  1637

SEARCHED			
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner

SEARCH NOTES		
Search Notes	Date	Examiner
Inventor names searched in PALM. Keywords searched in EAST and GOOGLE SCHOLAR. Summaries provided. Sequence search results posted in SCORE. Lack of Unity in view of traversal was reviewed by Primary Examiner Horlick and SPE Benzion. Although the claims are unclear and the specification unclear in parts, it was decided that the traversal should be accepted, that there is no Lack of Unity. 112 2nd rejections should be made on lack of clarity in the claims.	05/18/2009	Mark Staples
Primary Horlick added that there should be training in this general situation where a traversal is accepted over unclear claims as different Art Units handle this situation differently. FAOM was discussed with Examiner Horlick who advised there is no written description or enablement issue with claims as the active methods steps are known in the art and amount to a screening method. The recitation of cancer detection in the preamble should be dealt with as indefinite under 112 2nd.	05/18/2009	Mark Staples
Keywords searched in GOOGLE SCHOLAR. Summary provided.	11/24/2009	Mark Staples

INTERFERENCE SEARCH			
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner

/MARK STAPLES/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1637